

Page 8

Remarks

This is filed in response to the Office Action mailed March 29, 2004, requiring election of a single species of claims. Per the undersigned prior telephone discussion with the Examiner, the independent claims are amended to recite a single invention, e.g., as shown in Figure 2A, and, thereby, obviate the restriction requirement. No new subject matter

In view thereof, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the restriction requirement.

Interview Summary

On March 22, 2004, the undersigned and the Examiner discussed the need to issue a supplemental restriction in view of discrepancies in that previously mailed by the Office. The undersigned also indicated that the Applicant intended to amend the claims to remove the ambiguities that led to issuance of the restriction.

The Examiner agreed to a further Interview upon issuance of the present action. A date was set, but rescheduling. The undersigned will call the Examiner to set up a new date for interview.

Respectfully submitted,
NUTTER, McCLENNEN & FISH, LLP



David J. Powsner
Reg. No. 31,868

Attorney for Applicant
World Trade Center West
155 Seaport Boulevard
Boston, MA 02110-2604
Tel: (617)439-2717
Fax: (617)310-9717